

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/924,621 08/07/2001		Satoru Matsuda	112857-282	3175	
29175 7590 10/13/2006			EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			SIDDIQI, MOHAMMAD A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/924,621	MATSUDA ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154			

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mohammad A. Siddiqi	2154					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE	REPLY FILED 02 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	RALLOWANCE.					
1. 🗖	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a)	Em '	g date of the final rejection.						
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2.	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
3. 🗌	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		ecause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);					
	<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
б	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. 🛛	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .							
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 35</u> .							
ΔFFI	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> . DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:								
See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/06) Paper No(s).								
13. <u>L</u>	Other:	JOHN FOLLANSBEE SURIEZISSERY PATENT EX JECHNOLOGY CENTER 2	) NARAITT					
		JECHNOLOGY CENTER 2	100 2100					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the 112, first paragraph rejections to claims 1 and 14 have been withdrawn.

With regard to the 112, second paragraph rejections to claim 1 has been withdrawn.

In response to applicant's argument neither Ginter nor Clark teach or suggest "substituting step or means for substituting personal information of a user ....", the examiner respectfully disagrees. Ginter discloses substituting means for substituting personal information of said user contained in first information of which input is controlled by said first input/output control means with second information corresponding to said personal information of said user on a one-to-one basis (fig 17A-17F, does not reveal the consumer's identity anticipates substituting personal information, col 28, lines 37-49; col 32, lines 7; col 37, lines 55-67; col 41, lines 20-23, user identity information removed to ensure user privacy is another example of substituting, person of ordinary skill in the art knows in the context of the invention that removing requires substituting). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teachings of Clark and Ginter. The motivation would have been developing a collective business system including participants engaged in a common field of business endeavor, a plurality of suppliers of business support mechanisms of interest to the participants and a for-profit hub business entity for managing transactions between the suppliers, the participants and their customers; Under the system, the independently owned and operated participants are assigned geographically protected business locations, as well as one or more of volume discounts of goods and services, national marketing capacity, discount capital financing for purchase of costly technology and machinery, access to information technology, logistics support or other business support mechanisms (see Clark abstract).